

Rob Clarke Awards – Abstract Scoring Criteria

A clearly stated hypothesis or aim

- 5 – Outstanding
- 4 – Excellent
- 3 – Good
- 2 – Fair
- 1 – Poor
- 0 – Inadequate or not provided

The technical approach to the study

- 5 – Outstanding
- 4 – Excellent
- 3 – Good
- 2 – Fair
- 1 – Poor
- 0 – Inadequate or not provided

The pertinent results obtained with quantitative and statistical comparisons, when appropriate

- 5 – Outstanding
- 4 – Excellent
- 3 – Good
- 2 – Fair
- 1 – Poor
- 0 – Inadequate or not provided

A clearly stated conclusion

- 5 – Outstanding
- 4 – Excellent
- 3 – Good
- 2 – Fair
- 1 – Poor
- 0 – Inadequate or not provided

The significance of the results to the field

- 5 – Outstanding
- 4 – Excellent
- 3 – Good
- 2 – Fair
- 1 – Poor
- 0 – Inadequate or not provided

The current position of the student submitting the abstract

- 5 – A final year undergraduate
- 3 – A first year postgraduate
- 0 – A postgraduate beyond their first year or not provided